# WEED DYNAMICS, GROWTH AND PRODUCTIVITY OF GREENGRAM (VIGNA RADIATA) AS INFLUENCED BY DIFFERENT HERBICIDES AND THEIR COMBINATIONS

## CHUNNI LAL RAI\*, R.K. TIWARI<sup>1</sup>, PAWAN SIROTHIA, SHAILESH PANDEY AND MAHENDRA MISHRA

Department of Natural Resource Management, Mahatma Gandhi Chitrakoot Gramodaya Vishwavidhyalaya, Chitrakoot - 485780, Satna, Madhya Pradesh, India <sup>1</sup>Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Jabalpur \*Corresponding author: chunnirai 223@rediffmail.com

ABSTRACT: A field experiment was conducted during kharif season of 2012 and 2013 at Chitrakoot, Satna (Madhya Pradesh) to study weed dynamics, growth and productivity of greengram (Vigna radiata) as influenced by different herbicides and their combinations. Among weed control methods pre-emergence herbicides (pendimethalin and oxiflourfen), post-emergence herbicide (imazethapyr) and their combinations were tested at recommended doses. All the growth and productivity parameters were influences significantly due to single and double herbicidal applications to control the existing weed flora. The dual herbicides comprising pendimethalin + imazethapyr (W<sub>5</sub>) brought about significantly higher seed yield (6.40 q/ha), straw yield (17.79q/ha) and harvest index (26.45%) of greengram.

Keywords: Greengram, imazethapyr, oxiflourfen, pendimethalin, weeds

Citation: Rai CL, Tiwari RK, Sirothia P, Pandey S, Mishra M (2015). Weed dynamics, growth and productivity of greengram (Vigna radiata) as influenced by different herbicides and their combinations. Indian J Trop Biodiv 23(2): 241-245

Accepted on : 20 Oct. 2015 Published on : 23 Dec. 2015 is one of the shortest

Received on : 24 Sep. 2015 Greengram [Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek] or mungbean duration pulse crop grown

in 17 percent (3.44 m ha) of gross cropped area under the pulses and contributes 11 percent (1.24 m tonnes) of the total pulse production in the country and has many specialties. It contains about 25% easily digestible protein, which is almost three-times that of cereal. The biological value of food improves greatly, when wheat or rice is combined with greengram because of the complementary relationship of the amino acids. However, the average productivity of greengram in the country and also in the state of Madhya Pradesh is very poor which needs proper attention to increase its production. Besides other factors, weed problem during kharif is the main reason for lower productivity. Therefore, inadequate control of weeds is one of the limiting factor for low productivity of pulse crops. Among all the crop pests in India, weeds alone are responsible for one-third loss of crop production (Bhan et al., 1998). In the crop of kharif greengram, yield losses due to weeds have been observed from 31.6% to 66.8% by Balyan et al. (1988), Singh et al. (1996) Kumar et al. (2005) and Yadav and Singh (2005). These reports show that if weeds are properly and timely controlled in greengram crop, yield can substantially be increased upto great extent. Weeds can successfully be controlled through mechanical weeding or through the use of suitable selective herbicide with proper dose of application. Mechanical method is labour and time consuming, thus costly while herbicide use is cheaper and time saving but technical which require skillness. The performance of mechanical and chemical weed control was compared in field crops by Brar and Walia (1989). Now a days a number of selective herbicides are available to control weeds in pulse crops. Among those, pendimethalin and oxiflourfen are most popular as preemergence herbicides while imazethapyr as postemergence herbicide. Therefore, it was considered to compare effectiveness of these herbicides and their combinations.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

The field experiment was conducted at the Rajola Farm, MGCGVV, Chitrakoot, Satna (M.P.) during Kharif season of 2012 and 2013. The soil of the experimental field was sandy clay loam with pH value 7.44 to 7.46, electrical conductivity 0.32 to 30 dSm<sup>-1</sup>, organic carbon 2.9 to 2.4 g kg<sup>-1</sup>, available N, 193.42 to 201.6 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, available  $P_2O_5$  16.72 to 20.11 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and available K<sub>2</sub>O 207.28 to 201.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The treatments comprised six weed control methods (W1: weedy check, W2: pendimethalin 1 kg /ha PE, W3: oxiflourfen 0.2 kg /ha PE, W<sub>4</sub>: imazethapyr 0.1 kg /ha POE, W<sub>5</sub>: pendimethalin + imazethapyr and  $W_{6}$ : oxiflourfen + imazethapyr). The experimental was carried with three replications. Greengram variety 'Samrat' was taken for the experiment. Pre-emergence herbicides (pendimethalin and oxyflourfen) were applied on the second day after

sowing of the crop while post-emergence herbicide (imazethapyr) was applied 25 days after sowing the crop with the help of knapsack sprayer fitted with flat-fan nozzle using 500 liters of water per hectare. No any weed control practice was applied in weedy check (control) plot that means weeds are allowed to grow freely in the control plots. Recommended dose of N,  $P_2O_5$  and  $K_2O$  (20- 40- 20 kg/ha) was applied equally in all plots through inorganic fertilizers as basal. The optimum plant population was maintained by thinning and gap filling 10 days after germination to ensure the uniform plant population. All the data pertaining to the present investigation were statically analyzed as per the methods described by Panse and Sukhatme (1967). The treatment differences were tested for significance by 'F' test and the data in which the treatment effects were found significant the appropriate standard error of mean and the critical different (C.D.) were worked out at 5% level of significance.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## Weed dynamics

## Weed density and weed biomass

Weed density and weed biomass was found minimum under  $W_5$  (pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg ai + imazethapyr @ 0.1 kg a.i./ha). Weed densities under  $W_5$  treatment were 9.89, 10.73 and 8.29/m<sup>2</sup> at 25, 50 and 75 DAS respectively) while weed biomass was 6.37, 7.05 and 6.75 g/m<sup>2</sup> at respective days of observations. All the single and double herbicide combinations suppressed to weeds significantly as compared to weedy check which recorded highest weed infestation (151.4, 164.94 and 152.47 at 25, 50 and 75 DAS of observation respectively) with maximum weed biomass (70.99, 89.65 and 85.87g/m<sup>2</sup> at 25, 50 and 75 DAS respectively).

## Weed control efficiency

Both the dual herbicides (pendimethalin or oxiflourfen as pre emergence followed by post emergence application of imazethapyr) proved equally superior than other single herbicides in respect to weed control efficiency (89.55 to 92.05%). While among single herbicides pendimethalin recorded 82.58, 85.23 and 84.86% weed control efficiency at 25, 50 and 75 DAS and proved as superior herbicide over pre emergence oxiflourfen and post emergence imazethapyr.

#### Growth parameters

#### Plant growth

The plant growth parameters viz. plant height,

branches, trifoliate and dry matter per plant of greengram were recorded significantly higher from W<sub>5</sub> (pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg ai + imazethapyr @ 0.1 kg a.i./ha) as compared to the remaining weed control treatments. However, the second best treatment was W<sub>6</sub> (oxyfluofen @ 0.2 kg a.i./ha PE + imazethapyr @ 0.1 kg a.i./ha post emergence). At harvest stage, W<sub>5</sub> treatment recorded maximum plant height (62.69cm), number of trifoliate (19.17/plant), primary branches (6.88/plant), secondary branches (17.38/plant), and plant dry matter (13.10g/plant). This may be due to maximum weed control from dual herbicides application, thereby created the least crop weed competition for space, light, nutrients and soil moisture and providing better soil properties for favorable crop growth. However, these favorable conditions were not available under weedy check; these parameters were reduced significantly because of heavy weed occurrence and competition with the crop plants.

#### Root growth

The root growth parameters of greengram viz. root length, root width and root dry weight and root nodulation viz. number of root nodules and dry weight /plant of greengram intercrops were recorded significantly higher from  $W_5$  (pendimethalin + imazethapyr treatment) as compared to the remaining weed control treatments ( $W_1$  to  $W_4$  and  $W_6$ ). At harvest stage  $W_5$  recorded maximum root length (19.57cm), root width (27.28cm), root dry weight (1.85g), root nodules (18.99/plant) and nodules dry weight (0.15 g/plant).

#### Productivity parameters

## Yield attributes

The data from Table 2 indicates that the yield attributes viz. pods/plant, pod length, seeds/pod, seed weight/plant and 1000 seed weight of greengram were increased significantly due to all the herbicides over weedy check. Application of dual herbicides as in W<sub>5</sub> (pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg ai + imazethapyr @ 0.1 kg a.i./ha) produced significantly higher pods (24.89/plant), pod length (6.73 cm), seeds (10.49/pod), seed weight (5.69 g/plant) and 1000 seed weight (36.67 g) over the remaining weed control treatments and it was closely followed by W<sub>6</sub> (oxyfluorfen @ 0.1 kg a.i./ha + imazethapyr @ 0.1 kg a.i./ha) which recorded 23.05 pods/plant, 6.53 cm pod length, 9.59 seeds/pod, 5.40 g seed weight/plant and 35.79 g test weight. These parameters were found to decrease significantly with the application of single herbicides ( $W_2$ ,  $W_3$  and  $W_4$ ).

However all the herbicidal treatments proved significantly superior to weedy check treatment ( $W_1$ ). Thus, the lowest yield attributes viz. pods/plant (9.50), pod length (5.31 cm), seeds/pod (7.98), seed weight/plant (2.73 g) and test weight (32.40 g) were recorded in case of weedy check. This increase in yield attributes was ascribed due to greater growth parameter, better root development and nodule formation which might have promoted for greater formation of yield attributes.

#### Yield

The dual herbicidal comprising pendimethalin + imazethapyr ( $W_5$ ) brought about significantly higher seed yield (6.40 q/ha), straw yield 17.79 q/ha) and harvest index (26.45%) of greengram closely followed by  $W_6$  having Oxyflourfen + imazethapyr for all these

parameters. This might be owing to significantly higher yield attributes as a result of W<sub>5</sub> and W<sub>6</sub> dual herbicidal treatments. Both these treatments proved significantly superior to the single applied herbicides as in  $W_2$  to  $W_4$ treatments. In fact, all the herbicidal treatments (W<sub>2</sub> to  $W_{6}$ ) proved significantly superior to weedy check ( $W_{1}$ ) having no herbicidal application which resulted in significantly lowest seed yield (3.48 g/ha), straw yield (18.85 q/ha) and harvest index (19.10%). The increased productivity parameters might be attributed to lower crop weed competition that favoured better crop growth and make available more light space, nutrients and moisture. Similar are the findings as reported by Nirala and Dewangan (2012), Sukhadia et al. (2000), Rathod et al. (2004), Kumawat et al. (2012), Talnikar et al. (2008) and Sharma and Guled (2012).

Table 1: Weed dynamics of greengram as influenced by weed management practices (pooled for 2 years), DAS= days after sowing

Treatments	Weed density/m <sup>2</sup>			Weed biomass/m <sup>2</sup>			Weed control efficiency %			
	25 DAS	50 DAS	75 DAS	25 DAS	50 DAS	75 DAS	25 DAS	50 DAS	75 DAS	
W <sub>1</sub> : Weedy check (control)	151.40	164.94	152.47	70.99	89.65	85.87	0.00	0.00	0.00	
W <sub>2</sub> :Pendimethalin (1 kg ai/ha)	15.23	17.64	17.28	12.14	12.98	12.68	82.58	85.23	84.86	
W <sub>3</sub> : Oxyfluorfen (0.2 kg ai/ha)	16.49	25.19	22.39	18.21	19.22	18.63	73.97	78.27	77.84	
W4: Imazethapyr (0.1 kg ai/ha)	22.35	16.70	18.69	22.52	24.75	24.25	67.92	72.13	71.59	
W5 Pendimethalin+Imazethapyr	9.89	10.73	8.29	6.37	7.05	6.75	90.93	92.05	92.02	
W <sub>6</sub> : Oxyflourfen + Imazethapyr	11.40	11.79	11.41	7.33	7.91	7.58	89.55	91.10	91.05	
Mean	37.79	41.16	38.42	22.92	26.92	25.96	67.49	69.79	69.56	
S.Em <u>+</u>	1.901	2.055	1.884	0.808	1.047	0.994	1.084	1.109	1.108	
C D (P=0.05)	5.490	5.936	5.441	2.334	3.024	2.870	3.132	3.204	3.199	

Table 2: Growth parameters of greengram as influenced by weed management practices (pooled for 2 years)

Treatments	Plant height (cm)			Trifoliate /plant			Primary Se	Secondary	Dry weight/plant (g)					
	30 DAS	45 DAS	60 DAS	At harve st	30 DAS	45 DAS	60 DAS	At harve st	branche s / plant	branches/ plant	30 DAS	45 DAS	60 DAS	At harve st
W1: Weedy check (control)	24.97	40.22	54.46	56.39	5.77	8.87	9.70	10.70	3.55	8.11	0.78	2.32	5.00	5.34
W2:Pendimethalin (1 kg ai/ha)	30.85	41.70	57.60	61.00	8.90	12.07	14.63	16.07	5.61	14.33	2.70	4.84	10.65	10.98
W <sub>3</sub> : Oxyfluorfen (0.2 kg ai/ha)	29.04	42.62	56.75	59.45	7.33	12.23	14.90	16.03	4.66	13.66	2.39	3.63	10.07	11.28
W <sub>4</sub> : Imazethapyr (0.1 kg ai/ha)	29.03	42.99	57.76	59.34	7.10	12.57	15.80	17.00	5.11	13.72	1.90	3.96	10.32	10.64
W₅ Pendimethalin + Imazethapyr	33.33	45.65	60.53	62.69	10.30	16.10	18.37	19.17	6.88	17.38	2.93	6.81	12.83	13.10
W <sub>6</sub> : Oxyflourfen + Imazethapyr	32.22	44.30	59.28	62.05	9.17	14.90	16.97	17.87	5.72	15.94	2.35	5.93	12.15	12.61
Mean	29.91	42.91	57.73	60.15	8.09	12.79	15.06	16.14	5.26	13.86	2.17	4.58	10.17	10.66
S. Em <u>+</u>	0.096	0.062	0.151	0.080	0.134	0.215	0.126	0.173	0.156	0.288	0.016	0.021	0.058	0.095
C D (P=0.05)	0.278	0.180	0.435	0.230	0.386	0.620	0.363	0.499	0.452	0.830	0.045	0.060	0.168	0.273

#### Indian Journal of Tropical Biodiversity, 23(2) 2015

Treatments	Root growth		Root nodulation			
	Root length(cm)	Root width(cm)	Root dry weight (g)	Root nodules/ plant	Nodules dry weight (g)	
W1: Weedy check (control)	24.97	40.22	54.46	5.77	8.87	
W <sub>2</sub> :Pendimethalin (1 kg ai/ha)	30.85	41.70	57.60	8.90	12.07	
W <sub>3</sub> : Oxyfluorfen (0.2 kg ai/ha)	29.04	42.62	56.75	7.33	12.23	
W <sub>4</sub> : Imazethapyr (0.1 kg ai/ha)	29.03	42.99	57.76	7.10	12.57	
W <sub>5</sub> Pendimethalin + Imazethapyr	33.33	45.65	60.53	10.30	16.10	
W <sub>6</sub> : Oxyflourfen + Imazethapyr	32.22	44.30	59.28	9.17	14.90	
Mean	17.91	24.64	1.47	16.34	0.13	
S. Em ±	0.084	0.235	0.019	0.242	0.003	
C D (P=0.05)	0.241	0.678	0.055	0.699	0.008	

Table 3: Root growth parameters of greengram as influenced by weed management practices (pooled for 2 years)

Table 4: Productivity parameters of greengram as influenced by weed management practices (pooled for 2 years)

Treatments	Pods/ plant	Pod length (cm)	Seeds / pod	Seed weight / plant (g)	Test weight (g)	Seed yield (q/ha)	Straw yield (q/ha)	Harvest index (%)
W <sub>1</sub> : Weedy check (control)	24.97	40.22	54.46	56.39	5.77	8.87	9.70	10.70
W2:Pendimethalin (1 kg ai/ha)	30.85	41.70	57.60	61.00	8.90	12.07	14.63	16.07
W <sub>3</sub> : Oxyfluorfen (0.2 kg ai/ha)	29.04	42.62	56.75	59.45	7.33	12.23	14.90	16.03
W₄: Imazethapyr (0.1 kg ai/ha)	29.03	42.99	57.76	59.34	7.10	12.57	15.80	17.00
W5 Pendimethalin + Imazethapyr	33.33	45.65	60.53	62.69	10.30	16.10	18.37	19.17
W <sub>6</sub> : Oxyflourfen + Imazethapyr	32.22	44.30	59.28	62.05	9.17	14.90	16.97	17.87
Mean	18.07	6.13	8.73	4.54	34.28	5.13	16.44	23.59
S. Em ±	0.159	0.047	0.197	0.033	0.245	0.048	0.265	0.197
C D (P=0.05)	0.459	0.137	0.569	0.096	0.709	0.138	0.764	0.570

## CONCLUSION

Growth and productivity of greengram crop differed significantly due to different pre and post emergence herbicides and their combinations. Dual herbicides (pre-emergence application of pendimethalin or oxiflourfen combined with post-emergence application of imazethapyr) proved superior in controlling weeds over single pre or post-emergence herbicides. Preemergence application of pendimethalin coupled with post-emergence application of imazethapyr proved best weed control treatment closely followed by pre emergence oxiflourfen coupled with post emergence application of imazethapyr in terms of growth and productivity parameters.

#### REFERENCES

- Balyan RS, Malik RK, Bhan VM, Singh RP (1988) Studies on pre and post – emergence weeding systems in mung bean. Indian Journal of Agronomy 33(3): 234-237.
- Bhan VM, Singh VP, Kumar S, Dixit A (1998) Weed management. *Fifty years of Agronomic Research in India*, Indian Society of Agronomy, New Delhi. Pp. 155-187.
- Brar LS, Walia US (1989) Economics evaluation of

weed control systems in important field crops. Pesticides 23(5): 27-30.

- Kumar A, Malik YP, Ashok Yadav (2005) Effect of sowing methods and weed control treatments on nutrient content and their uptake by mung bean and associated weeds. Haryana Journal of Agronomy 21(2): 191-193.
- Kumawat Narendra, Singh RP, Kumar Rakesh, Kumari Anupama, Kumar Pramod (2012) Response of intercropping and integrated nutrition on production potential and profitability on rainfed pigeonpea. Indian Journal of Agriculture Sciences 4(7): 154-163.
- Nirala Hemlata, Dewangan DK (2012) Effect of weed management on weeds, growth and yield of *kharif* blackgram. Journal of Interacademicia 16(4): 835–844.
- Panse VC, Sukhatme PV (1967) Statistical methods for agricultural workers. ICAR Publications, New Delhi.
- Rathod PS, Halikatti SI, Hiremath SM, Kajjidoni ST (2004) Comparative performance of pigeonpea based intercropping systems in northern transitional zone of Karnataka. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences 17: 203-206.

- Sharma Arjun, Guled MB (2012) Effect of set-furrow method of cultivation in pigeonpea + greengram intercropping system in medium deep black soil under rainfed conditions. Karnataka Journal of Agriculture Science 25(1): 18-24.
- Singh AK, Singh RP, Singh RA (1996) Potassium drain through weeds under pigeonpea sesame intercropping and weed control methods. Journal of Potassium Research 12(2): 205-213.
- Sukhadia NM, Ramani BB, Modhwadia MM, Asodaria KB (2000) Integrated weed management in

pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L. Millsp.). Gujarat Agricultural University Research Journal 25(2): 1-4.

- Talnikar AS, Kadam DR, Karande DR, Jogdand PB (2008) Integrated weed management in pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.]. International Journal of Agricultural Sciences 4: 363-370.
- Yadav VK, Singh SP (2005) Losses due to weeds and response to pendimethalin and fluchloralin in varieties of summer sown *Vigna radiata*. Annals of Plant Protection Sciences 13(2): 454-457.